



## Jeffrey Epstein Archives

### Jeffrey Epstein Story in the New York Times Is Very, Very Strange

We keep re-reading [the story on Jeffrey Epstein in the New York Times](#), trying to decide what it is that makes it read so queer. It's not just that it took the paper so long to come around with a story. It's not just that this story appears now with almost no breaking news hook. There's something weird about the structure of the thing.

*The headline.* "Questions of Preferential Treatment Are Raised in Sex Case Against Money Manager" We're already off onto a meta-story story here. A more straight forward report would be headed "Accusations of Sex Crimes Made Against Money Manager." The Times, however, goes with the secondary question—whether or not Epstein is getting preferential treatment in the case.

*The lede.* Abby Goodnough begins not with the charges against Epstein but with the image of police sneaking around through his garbage and phone records. This is how you might begin a screenplay about Epstein but in a news story, well, it has the effect of pushing Epstein's alleged misdeeds into the background.

*The body.* In what follows, the Times devotes a total of 437 words to the allegations against Epstein, around 250 words to allegations that Epstein received preferential treatment and around 236 words to quoting or summarizing the arguments of Epstein's lawyers. So, if the story itself is pretty balanced between the actual allegations and the allegations about the allegations, why does the headline and the lead imply the real story here is about the treatment of Epstein rather than what he's alleged to have done?

Maybe the possibility of a corrupt prosecution is more interesting to the Times but surely it deserves to be placed behind the coverage of the allegations themselves. Are ink-and-paper journalists so convinced that the "cover-up is worse than the crime" that they don't care so much about the crimes anymore?

Look. We're glad the Times finally decided the story was fit to print—although we wonder what could have possibly taken them so long. And what's more, we wonder why they're coverage is so, well, poorly focused.

[\[DealBreaker's Jeff Epstein Archive\]](#)

---

Posted by John Carney at 12:12 PM on September 05, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

### As It Turns Out, Jeffrey Epstein Actually Exists

There were a few moments now and then when we started to wonder whether we were hallucinating Jeffrey Epstein. After all, if the New York Times wasn't reporting on the story it couldn't really be happening, could it? This is the paper that printed dozens upon dozens of articles on the Augusta National Golf Club's exclusion of women—complete with howler headlines breaking non-news such as "CBS Refuses Not To Show Masters"—and if they've got room for that sort of thing, surely at some point over the summer they might have found room to print one story about the prostitution charges against the mysterious money manager. The only explanation could be that it wasn't really happening.

So you can imagine our relief Sunday morning when we woke up to discover that we had been right all along. The Jeffrey Epstein story is happening. It is news worthy. It is fit to print. And we know all this because it is, finally, in the New York Times.



[Case Against](#)

Posted by  
[Permalink](#) |



[Questions of Preferential Treatment Are Raised in Sex Money Manager](#) [New York Times]

John Carney at 10:19 AM on September 05, 2006 | [Comments \(4\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Jeffrey His Campaign Check

## Epstein's Taint: Spitzer Returns

Jeff Epstein cannot seem to catch a break. Yesterday more New Mexican politicians dumped his campaign contributions. Today the New York Sun reports that Eliot Spitzer sent back a whopping \$50,000 contribution from Epstein. When even politicians don't want your money, you know you are in serious trouble. And Epstein cannot even call his usual masseuses to relieve the stress.

[Candidate Spitzer Returns \\$100,000 In Tainted Campaign Contributions](#) [New York Sun]

Posted by John Carney at 10:09 AM on August 18, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## More New Mexican Pols Dumping Epstein Money

The ickiness of Jeff Epstein crept quietly into New Mexican politics but it's now being loudly kicked out. New Mexico's Democratic Land Commissioner candidate Jim Baca announced earlier today in DealBreaker's comment section that he will donate to charity the money his campaign got from Epstein. Santa Fe Sheriff Greg Solano—who got \$2000 from Epstein—has decided to make \$1000 donations to two local charities, despite the fact that his primary campaign left him in debt. Both men have reportedly denied ever having met Epstein.

[Baca to return billionaire's cash](#) [The New Mexican]

[Sheriff Greg Solano's DealBreaker Comment](#) [DealBreaker]

[Jim Baca's DealBreaker Comment](#) [DealBreaker]

Posted by John Carney at 02:35 PM on August 17, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Does Ken Stevens Have A Secret? The Jeffrey Epstein Connection

The Limited Brands—the parent company of Victoria's Secret, Limited Express and Bath & Bodyworks—is losing its CFO, Ken Stevens. After only two months in the post, Stevens is resigning to "spend more time with his family."

Are people really still saying that? We mean, it could possibly be true but it's such a cliché in the worlds of business and politics that even if it were true, you wouldn't want to say it. If a dog actually ate your homework, would you ever try to explain to the teacher that a dog ate your homework?



Here's another, completely speculative theory sparked by Stevens' lame explanation. The CEO of the Limited is Les Wexner, who just happens to be Jeffrey Epstein's only confirmed client. We can imagine that these days it would be uncomfortable running the financial aspects of a company whose chief was so closely connected with Epstein. Is there a connection between Epstein's legal troubles and Stevens' resignation? Unfortunately, Stevens did not return calls from DealBreaker seeking clarification.

[Limited Brands: "Who Has a Secret?"](#) [10Q Detective]

---

Posted by John Carney at 01:00 PM on August 17, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(2\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Why I'm Keeping Jeffrey Epstein's Money: Sheriff Greg Solano Explains

The short answer: because being sheriff makes him poor.

Steve Terrell, political reporter for The Santa Fe New Mexican called me tonight to get my comments on the indictment. He asked me whether I would be returning the money he donated to my campaign. I received the \$2000 donation in August of 2005 and used it for the June 2006 Primary Campaign. I completed the June Primary having spent all donations and actually ending the campaign over \$2000 in debt. That debt means that the remaining money was paid out of my pocket. So the short answer is there is nothing left to return. It is now nearly a year since I recieved the donation and the campaign for Sheriff is over and done. As I have no Republican opponent I will not have to wage a General Election Campaign. I did not plan on any further fundraising although some who read in my campaign reports that I had ended with a debt offered to raise some additional money to clear the debt. If I did have the money I probably would return it or give it to a charity as the \$2000, returned to Mr. Epstein who is a billionaire would probably not mean much to him.

[Billionaire Donor In Palm Beach Sex Scandal](#) [Sheriff Greg Salono Blog]

---

Posted by John Carney at 10:09 AM on August 17, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(5\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Jeffrey Epstein's Legal Immunity: Maybe It's Politics

Jeffrey Epstein has some powerful friends but it seems he's made some pretty vocal enemies as well. Last night Bill O'Reilly apparently delivered his "Memo" on the subject of the Epstein prosecution—charging prosecutor Krischer with political bias. The gist of it is that Krischer was the prosecutor responsible for bringing charges of drug abuse against Rush Limbaugh, but now seems to be going easy on Epstein. You get the picture—tough on Republicans, easy on Democrats. Here's O'Reilly on the Epstein situation.

Politics does play a role in local prosecutions, no question about it. And when you examine how much time and effort state attorney Krischer put into trying to ruin Rush Limbaugh as opposed to what he's doing now in the far more serious case of Jeffrey Epstein? Many, many questions come to mind and none of those questions reflect well on Barry Krischer.

Ugh. We hope this isn't what is going on. There's nothing more boring than a debate about political biases.

[Another Outrageous Legal Situation in Florida](#) [FoxNews.Com]



---

Posted by John Carney at 04:06 PM on August 16, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Epstein's Defense Tactic—Smear Everyone

It's tough to tell from our safe remove in lower Manhattan exactly what's been going on down in Palm Beach between the prosecutors and the police. The Florida prosecutors didn't charge Jeffrey Epstein directly—perhaps because Epstein's legal dream team intimidated them convinced them that the girls police had interviewed were not credible witnesses—and the grand jury chose only to indict Epstein for solicitation. While that charge is still a felony, it is far less serious than the sex with minors charges police had sought. What's more, the grand jury neglected to charge Epstein's assistant ██████████, who is alleged to have had an instrumental role in setting up the sessions with underage girls, with anything at all. Frustrated with the local prosecutors, the police have now turned the case over to the FBI in an attempt to have federal prosecutors bring more serious charges.

So, of course, Epstein's lawyers have gone beyond smearing the girls who allegedly spent time nearly naked rubbing lotion into the money manager while he rubbed one out into a towel. They are also attacking Palm Beach Police Chief Epstein, Michael Reiter, 53. They've referred to the chief's pursuit of Epstein as "craziness," and characterized his dispute with prosecutors as "childish." Someone has also been spreading rumors that Reiter is involved in a "messy divorce"—which is disgusting non-sequitur that seems clearly intended to intimidate Reiter into back off the case.

As we said, it's tough to tell exactly what is going on from this remove. But the Palm Beach Post, which is one of the few mainstream newspapers covering this story in any detail, recently printed a story that seems to refute the smears against Reiter. It paints as a well-respected professional. Oddly enough, however, the prosecutor Reiter has sought to have removed from the case, State Attorney Barry Krischer, also seems to be well respected. As we said, it's hard to tell what is going on down in the world of Palm Beach.

But what's not hard to see is that the Epstein defense has developed a pattern—painting everyone accusing Epstein of crimes as disrespectable.

[Police chief's reputation helps discredit attacks](#) [Palm Beach Post]

---

Posted by John Carney at 03:27 PM on August 16, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Jeffrey Epstein Sex Scandal Roils New Mexico Politics

Stick another pin into your map tracking the scandal surrounding Jeffrey Epstein. The governor of New Mexico, Bill Richardson, is donating the campaign contributions he got from Epstein to local charities, a New Mexico newspaper is reporting.

Epstein is said to own one of the largest private home in New Mexico, a 26,700-square-foot hilltop fortress mansion on his Zorro ranch.

Epstein's been pretty active in New Mexican politics. He reportedly donated \$50,000 for Richardson's 2002 campaign and another \$50,000 to Richardson's re-election campaign this year, \$15,000 to attorney general candidate Gary King, \$10,000 to state land commissioner candidate Jim Baca and \$2,000 to Santa Fe County Sheriff Greg Solano. King says he'll dump the Epstein tainted money, Baca said he is discussing it with his campaign manager and Sheriff Solano says he has already spent the money and doesn't have any to return.



A note to King and Baca—you might think twice about actually giving the money back to Epstein. [As we noted before](#), who knows what he's going to use it for. Better to follow Richardson's example—and we're not saying he picked up the idea from DealBreaker but we secretly suspect he did—and give the money to charity.

[Governor to dump cash from billionaire](#) [The New Mexican]

---

Posted by John Carney at 01:25 PM on August 16, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(3\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## FBI Mulling Jeffrey Epstein Investigation

Jeffrey Epstein may be headed for more legal trouble. The New York Post's Dan Mangan is reporting that the FBI is considering a probe into the alleged sex crimes of the mysterious money manager. Epstein was indicted by a Palm Beach grand jury for solicitation in connection with paying local teenage girls for massages during which he allegedly required them to strip down to their panties while he masturbated and touched them with vibrators. It is also alleged that in at least one incident he paid a girl \$1,000 after he forcibly penetrated her. Epstein is also alleged to have employed a "sex slave" he allegedly claimed to have purchased from her family in eastern Europe to have sex with one of his untrained masseuses while he watched.

Palm Beach police, frustrated with what they reportedly view as a lack of dedication on the part of local prosecutors, have turned information over to the FBI. Mangan breaks news in his story by getting word from an FBI investigator that the feds are indeed following up on the case.

[Mystery Mogul's Teen-Sex Secret Bared in Probe](#) [New York Post]

[More of DealBreaker's Jeffrey Epstein Coverage](#) [Archives]

---

Posted by John Carney at 09:49 AM on August 16, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Jeffrey Epstein: The Story So Far

This morning's Palm Beach Post carries a lengthy article on Jeffrey Epstein. It mostly tracks what you've already read here or elsewhere but is worth reading if you haven't followed all the details. Among the highlights:

### Background.

- Jeffrey Epstein's early career at Dalton and Bear Stearns.
- His earlier disputes with former business partners and Citigroup.
- Rumors that he left Bear Stearns under an SEC inquiry cloud.
- Also rumored to have been a spook of some sort.
- Mentored by Steven Hoffenberg "now serving a prison term after 'bilking investors out of more than \$450 million in one of the largest Ponzi schemes in American history.'
- His real estate: private island, huge townhouse in Manhattan, gigantic in new Mexico and a ~~alleged teenage petting-zoo~~ mansion in Palm Beach.
- Powerful friends: top scientists, former Harvard president Larry Summers, Daily News publisher Mort Zuckerman and Bill Clinton.
- Thought to have 15 clients but only one is known—the Wexner family, founders of The Limited clothing stores.



#### Women.

- Long linked to media mogul Robert Maxwell's daughter Ghislaine Maxwell.
- Said to have also dated a former Miss Sweden and a Romanian model.

#### The Case.

- Investigation began after a mother heard her daughter discussing trips to Epstein's place and contacted police.
- Private investigators working for Epstein contacted witnesses during the investigation.
- Disputes arose between prosecutors and police.
- An early plea bargain which would have kept Epstein out of jail fell apart.
- Alan Dershowitz flew to Palm Beach to paint the girls making the allegations against Epstein as lying, thieving, drug and alcohol abusing and unreliable.
- The "Heidi Fleiss" of Palm Beach is alleged to have brought six girls between ages 14 and 18 to Epstein's house for massages.

While admitting that Epstein had girls over to administer massages, Epstein's camp maintains he is innocent of any criminal wrongdoing. One of his lawyers even insists Epstein will emerge from the case with his reputation untarnished.

[Jeffrey Epstein craved big homes, elite friends - and, investigators say, underage girls](#) [Palm Beach Post]

---

Posted by John Carney at 10:02 AM on August 14, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(6\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Clearly, This Is When A Private Plane Comes In Handy

Jossip—which along with DealBreaker, Gawker and the Palm Beach Post is just about the only media outlet covering the Jeffrey Epstein story—notes that terrorists have totally screwed any plans Epstein might have had to fly commercial this weekend. No batteries or liquids allowed on planes?

Which more or less means Jeffrey Epstein's weekend is totally fucked. How can he enjoy a night of petting zoo on his private jet without massage oils and working vibrators? Talk about inconvenience.

Ah. Poor innocent Jossip. Epstein doesn't fly commercial and you can bring whatever you want onto your private jet. This is what private planes are for. So even terrorists can't fuck with your travel plans.

[Note: As [we've said before](#), everything seems dirty when it is about Epstein, even perfectly ordinary phrases like "comes in handy." Even "Palm Beach Post" is kind of icky and we're not sure why. We're sorry. That's not out fault. It's either Epstein's or those lying little drug addict sluts.]

[Another note: *Shouldn't we have gone with some version of "If Epstein can't travel with lube and vibrators, the terrorists win?"—Ed.* Uhm, are we still using that joke? If that hasn't jumped the shark, I just threw up in my mouth a little. *Very funny. If we can still do these '—Ed' jokes, I think we can still do the terrorists win jokes.—Ed.* I hope meta is still funny.]

[Terrorists Totally Ruin Jeffrey Epstein's Weekend](#) [Jossip]

---

Posted by John Carney at 04:22 PM on August 10, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(2\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Epstein Got Off Too Easy, Palm Beach Post Says



Why didn't prosecutors charge Jeffrey Epstein with more serious crimes? The police reports include allegations of sexually-tinged massages with girls as young as 14, human trafficking and something that seems a lot like rape to us. But he gets slapped with nothing more than a single solicitation charge after prosecutors referred the case to a grand jury.

So what happened? It seems that Epstein's [high-powered legal team](#) crafted an ingenious and original "drunk, drug-addled lying sluts" defense and intimidated the prosecutors out of bringing charges. Here's how the Palm Beach Post puts it:

The girls, Mr. Dershowitz told prosecutors, had written on myspace.com about smoking marijuana and drinking alcohol. But if the girls have a credibility problem, what about Jeffrey Epstein? Mr. Goldberger, told The Post: "Mr. Epstein absolutely insisted anybody who came to his house be over the age of 18. How he verified that, I don't know." And prosecutors took him at his word?

A more charitable way to look at the situation is that prosecutors may have been hesitant to put teenage girls on the stand knowing that Epstein's lawyers would likely turn his trial into a forum for attacks on their characters, sexual history and allegations of drug use and prostitution.

[Massaging the system](#) [Palm Beach Post]

---

Posted by John Carney at 01:15 PM on August 10, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Jeffrey Epstein Fights Back: "These women are liars."

Jeffrey Epstein has launched an army of lawyers and publicists to clear his name and smear the girls who have accused him of sexual improprieties and solicitation, the Palm Beach Post reports this morning. Here's a quick look at the line coming out from Epstein's camp.

In Epstein's army: attorneys Jack Goldberger, Alan Dershowitz and Roy Black. New York publicist Dan Klores and an unnamed Los Angeles publicist.

The spin: these girls are liars, thieves and drug-users. The Palm Beach police are on a childish vendetta.

What Epstein's camp admits: Epstein did have young women over to his house to administer massages. There is no explanation for why he was paying untrained girls upwards of \$200 for massages.

What they're denying: That Epstein had any knowledge the girls were underage or that Epstein had sex with any underage girls.

There's a gap between what has been alleged and what is being denied, and that gap might be informative. Even if Epstein didn't 'have sex' with the girls, did he have them strip and did he masturbate while they massaged him?

Ugh. Suddenly we're back in Clinton-Lewinsky territory, trying to guess at what the meaning of 'sex' is.

[Epstein camp calls female accusers liars](#) [Palm Beach Post]

---

Posted by John Carney at 10:01 AM on August 08, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(3\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)



## Joe Francis and The Girls Gone Wild Theory of Jeffrey Epstein

Joe Francis, the man who built an empire on young girls exposing themselves for his "Girls Gone Wild" videos, offers a completely self-serving theory about entrepreneurialism and sex to a writer for the LA Times magazine.

"Sex sells everything," he says. "It drives every buying decision . . . I hate to get too deep and philosophical here, but only the guys with the greatest sexual appetites are the ones who are the most driven and most successful."

This was crap when we first heard it as the feminist theory of capitalism—that successful businessmen were simply acting out their rape fantasies on the economy. And it's truly disgusting crap coming from anyone who does the things Joe Francis is alleged to have done in the article—assaulting a reporter, getting a teenager drunk and more-or-less raping her, and generally being an ass. No idea about how much of this story is accurate but if even if it's dimes-from-dollars, it's awful stuff.

We couldn't help but remember that Jeffrey Epstein is [reportedly interested in alternative theories of evolutionary psychology](#). Maybe he and Joe should get in touch with each other.

['Baby, Give Me a Kiss'](#) [L.A. Times Magazine]

---

Posted by John Carney at 12:01 PM on August 07, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(2\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Today in Jeffrey Epstein: He Thinks You Are An Insect.

We feel awful for letting you down yesterday. An entire day without a post on Jeffrey Epstein. No one should have to tolerate that kind of neglect. Unless, you know, [they get their news from the New York Times](#).

A blogger at Verum Serum asks whether Epstein's interest in alternatives to evolutionary psychology and the work of E.O. Wilson might offer an insight into his alleged behavior:

My question about all this is simple. Is there a connection between the two threads in Jeffrey Epstein's life? Between his scientific beliefs about human nature and his behavior toward women (and girls)? Put another way, when you see people as something akin to insects, does that alter your behavior toward them? When you see human trust and kindness as merely adaptive behaviors, does that render you less trustworthy or less kind?

We'll answer all four questions. Yes. Yes. Yes. No.

[Patron of Evolutionary Science Kept Sex Slave](#) [Verum Serum]

---

Posted by John Carney at 02:56 PM on August 04, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(4\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Pretty Much Most of the News That's Fit to Print So Long As It's Not About Jeffrey Epstein



It's three o'clock already and we haven't even mentioned Jeffrey Epstein. We'd feel like we were neglecting our journalistic duties but that might make the nice folks at New York Times feel lazy. They've never once mentioned Jeffrey Epstein since the sex scandal broke, as Jossip points out.

So, fine. We are going to be obsessive ...And we are going to point out — yet again — that a very rich and powerful man was accused of some really terrible things ... and the New York Times has officially let a week go by without a single mention of his name.

Come on, Jossip. That's unfair. We're sure they mean to get around to the story. It's just so hard to find room in the paper [when it is so packed with hard news](#).

[Jeffrey Epstein Still Immune From New York Times Coverage: Day 7](#) [Jossip]

---

Posted by John Carney at 03:00 PM on August 02, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Jeffrey Epstein and Those Nobel Prize Winning Orgiasts

As we [mentioned earlier today](#), over on the very, very much Not Safe For Work Moneyshot blog, the pseudonymous Shermon McCoy claims that he's been at Jeffrey Epstein parties in which Nobel prize winners and various wealthy folks were all surrounded by young, "nude eastern european girls, frolicking with them, and then proceeding into one big orgy party." Uhm, okay. So who were these Nobel prize winners? So far we haven't been able to confirm anything.

But we have uncovered published reports about a bœndøggel-orgy-physics symposium in St. Thomas that Epstein organized, which was attended by Nobel prize winners Gerardus't Hooft, David Gross and Frank Wilczek, and physicist Stephen Hawking. We don't want to suggest that Hawking got all freaky with Epstein's alleged sex-slave. Or that anyone did anything wrong or unbecoming. Or that any of these physics guys have ever had sex with anyone, for that matter.

But the story does suggest it wasn't all equations and string theory.

Delegates from the University of the Virgin Islands and Antilles School also attended the reception, where a few free spirited physicists braved the dance floor.

"There is no agenda except fun and physics, and that's fun with a capital 'F,'" Epstein said.

Before the Epstein story broke we might have read that with a straight face. Now we cannot help but wonder exactly who these "delegates" were. Were they professors? Or students? And why do we think that the capital "F" wasn't just for fun?

That's probably completely unfair. But like we said before. In light of the allegations, everything we read about Epstein seems creepy.

[Physicists Debate Gravity at St. Thomas Symposium](#) [St. Thomas Source]

---

Posted by John Carney at 06:05 PM on August 01, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(9\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Epstein Update: Nobel Prize Wide Shut

STANLEY KUBRICK COLLECTION



STANLEY KUBRICK'S  
EYES WIDE SHUT

DVD

According to the anonymous author of [TheMoneyShot](#), Shermon McCoy (har), our flood-the-zone coverage of Jeffrey Epstein's alleged personal pubescent predilections is entirely based on one thing: we're jealous!

All these people are, I suspect, just slightly jealous that they were never invited to a Epstein party.\*\* Being one of those privy to just such an event- barely-legal (I hope!) Russian girls and all- I can say that I understand why. There really is nothing else I have ever experienced quite like it. Nobel prize winners, a bunch of people intimately involved in ... cough! cough! Numerix cough! and cough! Prediction Company cough! cough!, Jeff himself, and some rich people who really don't matter- all surrounded by 18-, 19-, and 20- (again, I hope!) year old nude eastern european girls, frolicking with them, and then proceeding into one big orgy party. It was really strikingly

similar to Eyes Wide Shut. Without the costumes, that is.

Well, Sherman, we'll admit that we're jealous of your blog idea. Porn and finance! At the same time! Two great tastes that go great together. Why didn't we think of that?\*\*\*

But more importantly, we're deeply curious about which Nobel prize winners have been known to frolick sans tweed with nude Eastern European girls. And we're curious about the rich people who don't matter as well. C'mon! Give us a hint!

\*\* Well, that's a little presumptuous, Sherman.

\*\*\* No, seriously. Why didn't we think of that? Minkin? Carney?

[Dealbreaker and More Jeffrey Epstein](#) (NSFW) [[TheMoneyShot](#)]

---

Posted by Elizabeth Spiers at 12:00 PM on August 01, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(12\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## The Perfect Storm, DealBreaker Style

We've been spending some time trying to clear away the murk and shine some light into the shadows of Jeffrey Epstein's financial dealings in an effort to provide some, uhm, actual financial reporting related to the sex scandal encircling the mysterious money manager. There's not much that is publicly available but we're still digging.

What we have discovered, however, is a brief document amending a credit agreement for RELIANT PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. The amendment replaces the administrative agent for the credit. But what caught our eye was the confluence of three DealBreaker subjects all in the same documents.

The signature pages include lines for Morgan Stanley CEO **John Mack**, who is scheduled to appear before the SEC in connection with allegations of insider trading at Pequot Capital, as well as **Jeffrey Epstein**, who signs as trustee of the Wexner Children's Trust II, part of the financial empire of The Limited founding family. And the agent who is being replaced? **Goldman Sachs**, where alleged insider trading crooks Eugene Plotkin and David Pajcin worked (not to mention the alma mater of that other DealBreaker obsession, Hank Paulson).

Now this is no doubt just a coincidence, and not really a conspiracy to make our heads explode. We should probably just take a deep breath and then post a Venn Diagram illustrating the connections but our diagramist is in meetings off-site.

One additional thought: this is probably the last time you'll see Epstein's name coupled with the words "trust" and "children" any time in the near future.



[Reliant Consent, waiver and amendment](#) [SEC]

Posted by John Carney at 05:01 PM on July 31, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Jeffrey Epstein's Been Around Young Girls Before

Does the fact that Jeffrey Epstein taught high school math and physics at Dalton for three years creep anyone else out? Unless you've been depending on the New York Times for your news, by now you know that Jeffrey Epstein has been charged with felony solicitation of prostitution rather than even more serious charges of molestation and sex with minors. But the facts alleged in the police affidavit released on the Smoking Gun clearly spell out a taste for getting young, high school age girls to co-operate in some pretty raunchy scenarios. This raises the question: how long has this been going on?\*

Did you go to Dalton in the mid-seventies? Epstein taught there from 1973 through 1975, when he left to take a job at Bear Stearns. We'd love to hear from you if you remember Epstein from those years, whatever your impressions—good, bad, ugly or totally perverse. Unless otherwise indicated, we'll keep your comments completely anonymous. Send your comments to [tips\(at\)dealbreaker\(dot\)com](mailto:tips(at)dealbreaker(dot)com).

[\* Editors Note: We know, we know. It may not have gone on at all. Everyone is innocent until proven guilty. God loves all his little creatures. The allegations and charges are serious, though, and we don't think it's too early to start asking these questions.]

Posted by John Carney at 03:39 PM on July 31, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(2\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## On further reflection, maybe giving money back to Jeffrey Epstein isn't such a good plan

Why are Mark Green and Eliot Spitzer handing \$60,000.00 over to alleged money manager cum massage masturbator Jeffrey Epstein? It's pretty standard procedure these days for politicians to send back contributions from donors accused of crime or mired in scandal, and we guess allegations of soliciting prostitution, paying for naked massages from teenagers, human trafficking and all around pervy weirdness qualify. But is sending the check back really the right move here? Wouldn't it be better to donate the money to a worthy cause, such a home for sexually abused children? Why should Epstein be enriched by these allegations?

Let's put it this way. By sending back Epstein's \$10,000 donation, Attorney General candidate Green just bought Epstein 50 sessions with local highschool girls at \$200 a pop.

[Dirty donor to get 10G back: Green](#) [Daily News]

Posted by John Carney at 11:33 AM on July 31, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Meet [REDACTED], Jeffrey Epstein's Other Girl

We're told that the dirty little nymph in this picture is [REDACTED], the personal assistant of Jeffrey Epstein who is alleged to have arranged many of her boss's alleged naked massage sessions with teenage girls. The woman in this picture bears a striking resemblance to confirmed pictures of [REDACTED], including [one where she is pictured palling around](#) with Epstein's alleged eastern European sex-slave [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] could not be reached for comment.

More pictures of [REDACTED] (probably) on the link below.

[REDACTED] ? [Strobe Models]

---

Posted by John Carney at 10:47 AM on July 31, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(1\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

## Another Jeffrey Epstein Victim? Naughty [REDACTED] May Be A Victim of International

### Sex Slave Trade

[REDACTED]

Our earlier post on [REDACTED] was written on the assumption that unlike the young girls Jeffrey Epstein is alleged to have paid to strip and massaged him while he pawed at them and masturbated into a towel, the eastern european blonde was a different kind of participant. Older, more sophisticated, at least a little lezzy and wearing stap-on dildos. That sort of thing. Just the sort of woman you'd imagine an evil villain would keep as his mistress.





If you spend even a few moments reading [the probable cause affidavit](#) detailing mysterious money manager Jeffrey Epstein's alleged penchant for paying naked teenagers to massage him while he, uhm, whacked-off, everything else you read about him starts to seem creepy too.

There's the now famous line in [New York magazine's profile](#). You know, the one where Donald Trump is quoted as saying about Epstein, "He's a lot of fun to be with. It is even said that he likes beautiful women as much as I do, and many of them are on the younger side. No doubt about it -- Jeffrey enjoys his social life." Wow. That's awkward now.

But it doesn't stop there. One thing that's clear from the affidavit is that Epstein's assistant [REDACTED] is alleged to have played a central role in procuring the high school girls who rubbed him down for a couple of hundred dollars a pop. She's said to have called the girls when Epstein was in town, got them situated in his bedroom and even passed around refreshing beverages for the girls to enjoy.

As it turns out, one of the rare journalism interviews Epstein has given involved his executive assistants. The New York Times story "Working for Top Bosses on Wall St. Has Its Perks" (cough, *pimping*, cough) is now hidden safely from prying eyes behind the Times Select firewall but DealBreaker has boldly shelled out the Abe Lincoln to bring the story to our readers. After the jump, we bring you the excerpts with emphasis and nasty asides added.

[Continue reading "In Retrospect, Everything Ever Said About Jeffrey Epstein Seems Creepy" »](#)

---

Posted by John Carney at 09:33 AM on July 28, 2006 | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(4\)](#) | [TrackBacks \(0\)](#)

© 2006 - Dealbreaker.com - 11 Beach St, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10013 | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms of Service](#)